



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,485	10/10/2000	Christopher Anthony Kaminski	RD-27,502/USA	4124	
0111	7590 12/13/2001 AL ELECTRIC COMPANY		EXAMINER		
CRD PATENT DOCKET ROOM 4A59 P O BOX 8			TAMAI, KARL I		
	1 SALAMONE DY, NY 12301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHENECIA	D1, N1 12501		2834		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\underline{U}_{\underline{}}$			
	Application	No.	plicant(s)				
	09/684,485			KAMINSKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Tamai IE Kar		2834	on address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the co	over sheet with ti	ne corresponaen	ce dudiess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor d will apply and will ex	however, may a reply by minimum of thirty (30 kpire SIX (6) MONTHS tion to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considere from the mailing date of	ed timely. If this communication. 33).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
24/	This action is no			4 0 - 4 - 4			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicatio							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from cons	ideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election rec	luirement.					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) o	bjected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1	.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			approved by the B	examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.) (s)	4) Interview Su 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ummary (PTO-413) F formal Patent Applica	Paper No(s) ation (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/684,485

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not contain a full, clear, concise, and exact written description of a rotor winding shaped to include an preloaded axial offset to allow the winding to lengthen and shorten without elongation.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 9 does not particularly point out and distinctly claim a rotor winding shaped to include an preloaded axial offset to allow the winding to lengthen and shorten without elongation. The limitation defines the function of the conductive bridge rather than the structure that achieves the desired resonance.(See *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959) holding that claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/684,485

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirtley, Jr. et al.(Kirtley)(US 3999091) and Coggeshall(US 2844746). Kirtley teaches a superconducting winding 3 for an electric machine with angled end turns with the vertexes aligned with the axis of rotation. It is inherent that the vertex provides a preloaded axial offset to allow the windings to lengthen and shorten without elongation. Kirtley teaches every aspect of the invention except the winding being flat and blocks between the windings. Coggeshall teaches the winding 2 is wound with a bar(flat) wire with blocks 7 disposed between the windings. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the machine of Kirtley with the flat winding of Coggeshall to provide a support which prevents axial shifting of the coils.
- 7. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirtley, Jr. et al.(Kirtley)(US 3999091) and Coggeshall(US 2844746), in further view of Mosher et al.(Mosher)(US 4614888). Kirtley and Coggeshall teach every aspect of the invention, as discussed above, except rotor with spindles on the end of a body with

Application/Control Number: 09/684,485

Art Unit: 2834

parallel sides which are perpendicular to the pole faces. Mosher teaches a rotor with spindles 16, 18 attached to the end of a rotor core with sides perpendicular sides to the poles faces. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the machine of Kirtley and Coggeshall with the core and shaft of Mosher to allow disassembly of the rotor with out loss of precise alignment of the elements.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai at (703) 305-7066.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703)308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703)305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

Karl I Tamai

(703) 308-0956.

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

December 10, 2001

KARL TAMANNER